

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/789,544	02/26/2004	Russell W. Gruhlke	10021091-1	9466
7590 02/13/2006			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			MENEFEE, JAMES A	
Legal Department, DL429			Tomas T	
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2828	
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/789,544	GRUHLKE, RUSSELL W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James A. Menefee	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	C DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	,	• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/26/04</u>.</li> </ol>	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/789,544

Art Unit: 2828

.2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said focusing means" in lines 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The phrase should be replaced by "said diffractive optical element" to provide proper basis, and has been examined as such. Claims 16-20 are rejected for their dependence on claim 15, thus including this limitation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1 329 999 ('999) in view of Swanson et al. (US 5,161,059).

Regarding claim 1, '999 discloses as in Fig. 1 an optical device comprising an optical cavity, an optical gain medium 14 that generates light in said cavity, and a focusing diffractive optical element (DOE) 40 optically coupled to the gain medium to receive light from said gain

Application/Control Number: 10/789,544

Art Unit: 2828

medium, said DOE being configured to diffractively focus said light of a selected wavelength back into the gain medium to cause said light of said selected wavelength to resonate within said cavity.

Regarding claim 10, '999 similarly discloses a method of selectively emitting light comprising generating light, reflecting said light within an optical cavity, wavelength selectively diffracting said light within said optical cavity so that light of a selected wavelength is resonant within said cavity, and emitting light of said selected wavelength from said cavity.

Regarding claim 15, '999 similarly discloses a light source 14 operable to generate light, a DOE 40 configured to diffractively focus said light of a selected wavelength back onto said light source, means 10 for reflecting at least some of said light from said DOE to said light source, said reflecting means 10 partially defining an optical cavity resonant at said light of said selected wavelength.

Regarding claims 1, 10, and 15, it is not disclosed that the DOE is aberration corrected. Swanson teaches a DOE that is aberration corrected for spherical aberrations. See, e.g., col. 2 lines 29-30, though the entire document is relevant. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use Swanson's DOE in the '999 system so that spherical aberrations may be corrected, as these aberrations are a known problem affecting performance.

Dependent claims:

Regarding claims 2, 11, and 16, as noted above Swanson's DOE corrects for spherical aberrations.

Regarding claims 3, 12, and 17, both '999 and Swanson use a DOE having circular gratings. Additionally, Swanson's DOE makes a typical spherical structure aspheric, see col. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/789,544

Art Unit: 2828

lines 50-52, thus it can be said the correction uses circular gratings whose periodicities are based on an aspheric surface.

Regarding claims 4 and 18, the gratings of the optical elements of both '999 and Swanson have the profiles as claimed.

Regarding claim 5, '999 further discloses a reflective element 20 optically coupled to said DOE to reflect at least some of said light from said DOE to said gain medium.

Regarding claims 6-9, 13-14, and 19-20, '999 discloses the DOE may be transmissive and located between the gain medium and reflector (as in Fig. 1), or it may be reflective with the gain medium between the DOE and reflector (as in Fig. 3).

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Siono et al. (US 5,373,519) appears to disclose a DOE having aberration compensation, see e.g. col. 8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Menefee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MinSun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

3- · · · 1

Application/Control Number: 10/789,544

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

James Menefee

February 3, 2006